

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/645,725	Applicant(s) MAY, EWALD	
	Examiner Thomas E. Lazo	Art Unit 3745	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Thomas E. Lazo (USPTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Harold H. Fullmer (42,560).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2004
Time: 1400
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:


1,3,4,5,6,7

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
An agreement was reached to remove claim references in the specification, correct claim format, and more clearly claim the invention.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)